

# SPARQL Formalization

Marcelo Arenas, Claudio Gutierrez, Jorge Pérez

Department of Computer Science  
Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile  
Universidad de Chile

Center for Web Research  
<http://www.cwr.cl>

# SPARQL: A simple RDF query language

```
SELECT ?Name ?Email
WHERE
{
  ?X :name ?Name
  ?X :email ?Email
}
```

- ▶ The *semantics* of simple SPARQL queries is easy to understand, at least intuitively.

# SPARQL: A simple RDF query language

```
SELECT ?Name ?Email
WHERE
{
  ?X :name ?Name
  ?X :email ?Email
}
```

- ▶ The *semantics* of simple SPARQL queries is easy to understand, at least intuitively.

*“Give me the name and email of the resources in the datasource”*

# But things can become more complex...

## Interesting features of pattern matching on graphs

- ▶ Grouping
- ▶ Optional parts
- ▶ Nesting
- ▶ Union of patterns
- ▶ Filtering
- ▶ .....

```
{ P1  
  P2 }
```

# But things can become more complex...

Interesting features of pattern matching on graphs

- ▶ **Grouping**
- ▶ Optional parts
- ▶ Nesting
- ▶ Union of patterns
- ▶ Filtering
- ▶ .....

```
{ { P1  
  P2 }  
  
  { P3  
    P4 }  
  
}
```

# But things can become more complex...

Interesting features of pattern matching on graphs

- ▶ Grouping
- ▶ **Optional parts**
- ▶ Nesting
- ▶ Union of patterns
- ▶ Filtering
- ▶ .....

```
{ { P1
  P2
  OPTIONAL { P5 } }

  { P3
    P4
    OPTIONAL { P7 } }

}
```

# But things can become more complex...

Interesting features of pattern matching on graphs

- ▶ Grouping
- ▶ Optional parts
- ▶ **Nesting**
- ▶ Union of patterns
- ▶ Filtering
- ▶ .....

```
{ { P1
  P2
  OPTIONAL { P5 } }

  { P3
    P4
    OPTIONAL { P7
      OPTIONAL { P8 } } }
}
```

# But things can become more complex...

Interesting features of pattern matching on graphs

- ▶ Grouping
- ▶ Optional parts
- ▶ Nesting
- ▶ **Union of patterns**
- ▶ Filtering
- ▶ .....

```
{ { P1
  P2
  OPTIONAL { P5 } }

  { P3
    P4
    OPTIONAL { P7
      OPTIONAL { P8 } } }
}
```

**UNION**

```
{ P9 }
```



# But things can become more complex...

Interesting features of pattern matching on graphs

- ▶ Grouping
- ▶ Optional parts
- ▶ Nesting
- ▶ Union of patterns
- ▶ **Filtering**
- ▶ .....

```
{ { P1
  P2
  OPTIONAL { P5 } }

  { P3
    P4
    OPTIONAL { P7
      OPTIONAL { P8 } } }
}
UNION
{ P9
  FILTER ( R ) }
```

# But things can become more complex...

Interesting features of pattern matching on graphs

- ▶ Grouping
- ▶ Optional parts
- ▶ Nesting
- ▶ Union of patterns
- ▶ Filtering
- ▶ .....

```
{ { P1
  P2
  OPTIONAL { P5 } }

  { P3
    P4
    OPTIONAL { P7
      OPTIONAL { P8 } } }
}
UNION
{ P9
  FILTER ( R ) }
```

# A formal semantics for SPARQL is needed.

A formal approach would be beneficial

- ▶ Clarifying corner cases
- ▶ Helping in the implementation process
- ▶ Providing sound foundations

# A formal semantics for SPARQL is needed.

A formal approach would be beneficial

- ▶ Clarifying corner cases
- ▶ Helping in the implementation process
- ▶ Providing sound foundations

# A formal semantics for SPARQL is needed.

A formal approach would be beneficial

- ▶ Clarifying corner cases
- ▶ Helping in the implementation process
- ▶ Providing sound foundations

We will see:

- ▶ A formal compositional semantics based on **[PAG06: Semantics and Complexity of SPARQL]**
- ▶ This formalization is the starting point of the official semantics of the SPARQL language by the W3C.

# Outline

Motivation

Basic Syntax

Semantics

Datasets

Query result forms

Dealing with bnodes

Dealing with duplicates

# First of all, a simplified algebraic syntax

- ▶ Triple patterns: RDF triple + variables (no bnodes for now)

$(?X, \text{name}, ?Name)$

# First of all, a simplified algebraic syntax

- ▶ Triple patterns: RDF triple + variables (no bnodes for now)

$(?X, \text{name}, ?Name)$

- ▶ The base case for the algebra is a set of triple patterns

$\{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k\}$ .

This is called **basic graph pattern** (BGP).



# First of all, a simplified algebraic syntax

- ▶ Triple patterns: RDF triple + variables (no bnodes for now)

$$(?X, \text{name}, ?Name)$$

- ▶ The base case for the algebra is a set of triple patterns

$$\{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k\}.$$

This is called **basic graph pattern** (BGP).

## Example

$$\{ (?X, \text{name}, ?Name), (?X, \text{email}, ?Email) \}$$

## First of all, a simplified algebraic syntax (cont.)

- ▶ We consider initially three basic operators:  
**AND**, **UNION**, **OPT**.
- ▶ We will use them to construct graph pattern expressions from basic graph patterns.

## First of all, a simplified algebraic syntax (cont.)

- ▶ We consider initially three basic operators:  
**AND**, **UNION**, **OPT**.
- ▶ We will use them to construct graph pattern expressions from basic graph patterns.
- ▶ A SPARQL graph pattern:

$$(((\{t_1, t_2\} \text{ AND } t_3) \text{ OPT } \{t_4, t_5\}) \text{ AND } (t_6 \text{ UNION } \{t_7, t_8\}))$$

it is a **full parenthesized expression**

## First of all, a simplified algebraic syntax (cont.)

- ▶ We consider initially three basic operators:

**AND**, **UNION**, **OPT**.

- ▶ We will use them to construct graph pattern expressions from basic graph patterns.
- ▶ A SPARQL graph pattern:

$$(((\{t_1, t_2\} \text{ AND } t_3) \text{ OPT } \{t_4, t_5\}) \text{ AND } (t_6 \text{ UNION } \{t_7, t_8\}))$$

it is a **full parenthesized expression**

- ▶ Full parenthesized expressions give us **explicit** precedence/association.

# Mappings: building block for the semantics

## Definition

A mapping is a **partial function** from variables to RDF terms.

# Mappings: building block for the semantics

## Definition

A mapping is a **partial function** from variables to RDF terms.

Given a mapping  $\mu$  and a basic graph pattern  $P$ :

# Mappings: building block for the semantics

## Definition

A mapping is a **partial function** from variables to RDF terms.

Given a mapping  $\mu$  and a basic graph pattern  $P$ :

- ▶  $\text{dom}(\mu)$ : the domain of  $\mu$ .

# Mappings: building block for the semantics

## Definition

A mapping is a **partial function** from variables to RDF terms.

Given a mapping  $\mu$  and a basic graph pattern  $P$ :

- ▶  $\text{dom}(\mu)$ : the domain of  $\mu$ .
- ▶  $\mu(P)$ : the set obtained from  $P$  replacing the variables according to  $\mu$



# Mappings: building block for the semantics

## Definition

A mapping is a **partial function** from variables to RDF terms.

Given a mapping  $\mu$  and a basic graph pattern  $P$ :

- ▶  $\text{dom}(\mu)$ : the domain of  $\mu$ .
- ▶  $\mu(P)$ : the set obtained from  $P$  replacing the variables according to  $\mu$

## Example

$$\mu = \{?X \rightarrow R_1, ?Y \rightarrow R_2, ?Name \rightarrow \text{john}, ?Email \rightarrow \text{J@ed.ex}\}$$

$$P = \{(?X, \text{name}, ?Name), (?X, \text{email}, ?Email)\}$$

$$\mu(P) = \{(R_1, \text{name}, \text{john}), (R_1, \text{email}, \text{J@ed.ex})\}$$

# Mappings: building block for the semantics

## Definition

A mapping is a **partial function** from variables to RDF terms.

Given a mapping  $\mu$  and a basic graph pattern  $P$ :

- ▶  $\text{dom}(\mu)$ : the domain of  $\mu$ .
- ▶  $\mu(P)$ : the set obtained from  $P$  replacing the variables according to  $\mu$

## Example

$$\mu = \{?X \rightarrow R_1, ?Y \rightarrow R_2, ?Name \rightarrow \text{john}, ?Email \rightarrow \text{J@ed.ex}\}$$

$$P = \{(?X, \text{name}, ?Name), (?X, \text{email}, ?Email)\}$$

$$\mu(P) = \{(R_1, \text{name}, \text{john}), (R_1, \text{email}, \text{J@ed.ex})\}$$

# Mappings: building block for the semantics

## Definition

A mapping is a **partial function** from variables to RDF terms.

Given a mapping  $\mu$  and a basic graph pattern  $P$ :

- ▶  $\text{dom}(\mu)$ : the domain of  $\mu$ .
- ▶  $\mu(P)$ : the set obtained from  $P$  replacing the variables according to  $\mu$

## Example

$$\mu = \{?X \rightarrow R_1, ?Y \rightarrow R_2, ?Name \rightarrow \text{john}, ?Email \rightarrow \text{J@ed.ex}\}$$

$$P = \{(?X, \text{name}, ?Name), (?X, \text{email}, ?Email)\}$$

$$\mu(P) = \{(R_1, \text{name}, \text{john}), (R_1, \text{email}, \text{J@ed.ex})\}$$

# Mappings: building block for the semantics

## Definition

A mapping is a **partial function** from variables to RDF terms.

Given a mapping  $\mu$  and a basic graph pattern  $P$ :

- ▶  $\text{dom}(\mu)$ : the domain of  $\mu$ .
- ▶  $\mu(P)$ : the set obtained from  $P$  replacing the variables according to  $\mu$

## Example

$$\mu = \{?X \rightarrow R_1, ?Y \rightarrow R_2, ?Name \rightarrow \text{john}, ?Email \rightarrow \text{J@ed.ex}\}$$

$$P = \{(?X, \text{name}, ?Name), (?X, \text{email}, ?Email)\}$$

$$\mu(P) = \{(R_1, \text{name}, \text{john}), (R_1, \text{email}, \text{J@ed.ex})\}$$

# The semantics of basic graph pattern

## Definition

The evaluation of the BGP  $P$  over a graph  $G$ , denoted by  $[[P]]_G$ , is the set of all mappings  $\mu$  such that:

- ▶  $\text{dom}(\mu)$  is exactly the set of variables occurring in  $P$
- ▶  $\mu(P) \subseteq G$

# The semantics of basic graph pattern

## Definition

The evaluation of the BGP  $P$  over a graph  $G$ , denoted by  $[[P]]_G$ , is the set of all mappings  $\mu$  such that:

- ▶  $\text{dom}(\mu)$  is exactly the set of variables occurring in  $P$
- ▶  $\mu(P) \subseteq G$

# The semantics of basic graph pattern

## Definition

The evaluation of the BGP  $P$  over a graph  $G$ , denoted by  $[[P]]_G$ , is the set of all mappings  $\mu$  such that:

- ▶  $\text{dom}(\mu)$  is exactly the set of variables occurring in  $P$
- ▶  $\mu(P) \subseteq G$

## Example

$G$   
( $R_1$ , name, john)  
( $R_1$ , email, J@ed.ex)  
( $R_2$ , name, paul)

$[[\{(?X, \text{name}, ?Y)\}]]_G$



## Example

$G$   
( $R_1$ , name, john)  
( $R_1$ , email, J@ed.ex)  
( $R_2$ , name, paul)

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \{ (?X, \text{name}, ?Y) \} \rrbracket_G \\ & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mu_1 = \{ ?X \rightarrow R_1, ?Y \rightarrow \text{john} \} \\ \mu_2 = \{ ?X \rightarrow R_2, ?Y \rightarrow \text{paul} \} \end{array} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

## Example

$G$   
( $R_1$ , name, john)  
( $R_1$ , email, J@ed.ex)  
( $R_2$ , name, paul)

$\llbracket \{ (?X, \text{name}, ?Y) \} \rrbracket_G$

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mu_1 = \{ ?X \rightarrow R_1, ?Y \rightarrow \text{john} \} \\ \mu_2 = \{ ?X \rightarrow R_2, ?Y \rightarrow \text{paul} \} \end{array} \right\}$

$\llbracket \{ (?X, \text{name}, ?Y), (?X, \text{email}, ?E) \} \rrbracket_G$

## Example

$G$   
( $R_1$ , name, john)  
( $R_1$ , email, J@ed.ex)  
( $R_2$ , name, paul)

$\llbracket \{ (?X, \text{name}, ?Y) \} \rrbracket_G$

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mu_1 = \{ ?X \rightarrow R_1, ?Y \rightarrow \text{john} \} \\ \mu_2 = \{ ?X \rightarrow R_2, ?Y \rightarrow \text{paul} \} \end{array} \right\}$

$\llbracket \{ (?X, \text{name}, ?Y), (?X, \text{email}, ?E) \} \rrbracket_G$

$\left\{ \mu = \{ ?X \rightarrow R_1, ?Y \rightarrow \text{john}, ?E \rightarrow \text{J@ed.ex} \} \right\}$

## Example

$G$   
( $R_1$ , name, john)  
( $R_1$ , email, J@ed.ex)  
( $R_2$ , name, paul)

$[[\{(?X, \text{name}, ?Y)\}]_G$

	?X	?Y
$\mu_1$	$R_1$	john
$\mu_2$	$R_2$	paul

$[[\{(?X, \text{name}, ?Y), (?X, \text{email}, ?E)\}]_G$

	?X	?Y	?E
$\mu$	$R_1$	john	J@ed.ex

## Example

$G$   
( $R_1$ , name, john)  
( $R_1$ , email, J@ed.ex)  
( $R_2$ , name, paul)

$[[\{(R_1, \text{webPage}, ?W)\}]]_G$

$[[\{(R_3, \text{name}, \text{ringo})\}]]_G$

$[[\{(R_2, \text{name}, \text{paul})\}]]_G$

$[[\{\}]]_G$

## Example

$G$   
( $R_1$ , name, john)  
( $R_1$ , email, J@ed.ex)  
( $R_2$ , name, paul)

$[[\{(R_1, \text{webPage}, ?W)\}]]_G$   
{ }

$[[\{(R_3, \text{name}, \text{ringo})\}]]_G$

$[[\{(R_2, \text{name}, \text{paul})\}]]_G$

$[[\{\}]]_G$

## Example

$G$   
( $R_1$ , name, john)  
( $R_1$ , email, J@ed.ex)  
( $R_2$ , name, paul)

$[[\{(R_1, \text{webPage}, ?W)\}]]_G$   
 $\{ \}$

$[[\{(R_3, \text{name}, \text{ringo})\}]]_G$   
 $\{ \}$

$[[\{(R_2, \text{name}, \text{paul})\}]]_G$

$[[\{ \}]]_G$

## Example

$G$   
( $R_1$ , name, john)  
( $R_1$ , email, J@ed.ex)  
( $R_2$ , name, paul)

$\llbracket \{ (R_1, \text{webPage}, ?W) \} \rrbracket_G$   
 $\{ \}$

$\llbracket \{ (R_3, \text{name}, \text{ringo}) \} \rrbracket_G$   
 $\{ \}$

$\llbracket \{ (R_2, \text{name}, \text{paul}) \} \rrbracket_G$   
 $\{ \mu_\emptyset = \{ \} \}$

$\llbracket \{ \} \rrbracket_G$



## Example

$G$   
( $R_1$ , name, john)  
( $R_1$ , email, J@ed.ex)  
( $R_2$ , name, paul)

$\llbracket \{ (R_1, \text{webPage}, ?W) \} \rrbracket_G$   
 $\{ \}$

$\llbracket \{ (R_3, \text{name}, \text{ringo}) \} \rrbracket_G$   
 $\{ \}$

$\llbracket \{ (R_2, \text{name}, \text{paul}) \} \rrbracket_G$   
 $\{ \mu_\emptyset = \{ \} \}$

$\llbracket \{ \} \rrbracket_G$   
 $\{ \mu_\emptyset = \{ \} \}$

# Compatible mappings: mappings that can be merged.

## Definition

The mappings  $\mu_1$ ,  $\mu_2$  are **compatibles** iff they **agree** in their **shared variables**:

- ▶  $\mu_1(?X) = \mu_2(?X)$  for every  $?X \in \text{dom}(\mu_1) \cap \text{dom}(\mu_2)$ .

$\mu_1 \cup \mu_2$  is also a mapping.

# Compatible mappings: mappings that can be merged.

## Definition

The mappings  $\mu_1$ ,  $\mu_2$  are **compatibles** iff they **agree** in their **shared variables**:

- ▶  $\mu_1(?X) = \mu_2(?X)$  for every  $?X \in \text{dom}(\mu_1) \cap \text{dom}(\mu_2)$ .

$\mu_1 \cup \mu_2$  is also a mapping.

# Compatible mappings: mappings that can be merged.

## Definition

The mappings  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  are **compatibles** iff they **agree** in their **shared variables**:

- ▶  $\mu_1(?X) = \mu_2(?X)$  for every  $?X \in \text{dom}(\mu_1) \cap \text{dom}(\mu_2)$ .

$\mu_1 \cup \mu_2$  is also a mapping.

# Compatible mappings: mappings that can be merged.

## Definition

The mappings  $\mu_1$ ,  $\mu_2$  are **compatibles** iff they **agree** in their **shared variables**:

- ▶  $\mu_1(?X) = \mu_2(?X)$  for every  $?X \in \text{dom}(\mu_1) \cap \text{dom}(\mu_2)$ .

$\mu_1 \cup \mu_2$  is also a mapping.

## Example

	?X	?Y	?U	?V
$\mu_1$	$R_1$	john		
$\mu_2$	$R_1$		J@edu.ex	
$\mu_3$			P@edu.ex	$R_2$

# Compatible mappings: mappings that can be merged.

## Definition

The mappings  $\mu_1$ ,  $\mu_2$  are **compatibles** iff they **agree** in their **shared variables**:

- ▶  $\mu_1(?X) = \mu_2(?X)$  for every  $?X \in \text{dom}(\mu_1) \cap \text{dom}(\mu_2)$ .

$\mu_1 \cup \mu_2$  is also a mapping.

## Example

	?X	?Y	?U	?V
$\mu_1$	$R_1$	john		
$\mu_2$	$R_1$		J@edu.ex	
$\mu_3$			P@edu.ex	$R_2$

# Compatible mappings: mappings that can be merged.

## Definition

The mappings  $\mu_1$ ,  $\mu_2$  are **compatibles** iff they **agree** in their **shared variables**:

- ▶  $\mu_1(?X) = \mu_2(?X)$  for every  $?X \in \text{dom}(\mu_1) \cap \text{dom}(\mu_2)$ .

$\mu_1 \cup \mu_2$  is also a mapping.

## Example

	?X	?Y	?U	?V
$\mu_1$	$R_1$	john		
$\mu_2$	$R_1$		J@edu.ex	
$\mu_3$			P@edu.ex	$R_2$
$\mu_1 \cup \mu_2$	$R_1$	john	J@edu.ex	

# Compatible mappings: mappings that can be merged.

## Definition

The mappings  $\mu_1$ ,  $\mu_2$  are **compatibles** iff they **agree** in their **shared variables**:

- ▶  $\mu_1(?X) = \mu_2(?X)$  for every  $?X \in \text{dom}(\mu_1) \cap \text{dom}(\mu_2)$ .

$\mu_1 \cup \mu_2$  is also a mapping.

## Example

	?X	?Y	?U	?V
$\mu_1$	$R_1$	john		
$\mu_2$	$R_1$		J@edu.ex	
$\mu_3$			P@edu.ex	$R_2$
$\mu_1 \cup \mu_2$	$R_1$	john	J@edu.ex	



# Compatible mappings: mappings that can be merged.

## Definition

The mappings  $\mu_1$ ,  $\mu_2$  are **compatibles** iff they **agree** in their **shared variables**:

- ▶  $\mu_1(?X) = \mu_2(?X)$  for every  $?X \in \text{dom}(\mu_1) \cap \text{dom}(\mu_2)$ .

$\mu_1 \cup \mu_2$  is also a mapping.

## Example

	?X	?Y	?U	?V
$\mu_1$	$R_1$	john		
$\mu_2$	$R_1$		J@edu.ex	
$\mu_3$			P@edu.ex	$R_2$
$\mu_1 \cup \mu_2$	$R_1$	john	J@edu.ex	
$\mu_1 \cup \mu_3$	$R_1$	john	P@edu.ex	$R_2$

# Compatible mappings: mappings that can be merged.

## Definition

The mappings  $\mu_1$ ,  $\mu_2$  are **compatibles** iff they **agree** in their **shared variables**:

- ▶  $\mu_1(?X) = \mu_2(?X)$  for every  $?X \in \text{dom}(\mu_1) \cap \text{dom}(\mu_2)$ .

$\mu_1 \cup \mu_2$  is also a mapping.

## Example

	?X	?Y	?U	?V
$\mu_1$	$R_1$	john		
$\mu_2$	$R_1$		J@edu.ex	
$\mu_3$			P@edu.ex	$R_2$
$\mu_1 \cup \mu_2$	$R_1$	john	J@edu.ex	
$\mu_1 \cup \mu_3$	$R_1$	john	P@edu.ex	$R_2$

$\mu_\emptyset = \{ \}$  is compatible with every mapping.

# Sets of mappings and operations

Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be sets of mappings:

## Definition

**Join:**  $M_1 \bowtie M_2$

- ▶  $\{\mu_1 \cup \mu_2 \mid \mu_1 \in M_1, \mu_2 \in M_2, \text{ and } \mu_1, \mu_2 \text{ are compatibles}\}$
- ▶ extending mappings in  $M_1$  with compatible mappings in  $M_2$

will be used to define **AND**

# Sets of mappings and operations

Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be sets of mappings:

## Definition

**Join:**  $M_1 \bowtie M_2$

- ▶  $\{\mu_1 \cup \mu_2 \mid \mu_1 \in M_1, \mu_2 \in M_2, \text{ and } \mu_1, \mu_2 \text{ are compatibles}\}$
- ▶ extending mappings in  $M_1$  with compatible mappings in  $M_2$

will be used to define **AND**

## Definition

**Union:**  $M_1 \cup M_2$

- ▶  $\{\mu \mid \mu \in M_1 \text{ or } \mu \in M_2\}$
- ▶ mappings in  $M_1$  plus mappings in  $M_2$  (the usual set union)

will be used to define **UNION**

## Definition

**Difference:**  $M_1 \setminus M_2$

- ▶  $\{\mu \in M_1 \mid \text{for all } \mu' \in M_2, \mu \text{ and } \mu' \text{ are not compatibles}\}$
- ▶ mappings in  $M_1$  that cannot be extended with mappings in  $M_2$

# Sets of mappings and operations

## Definition

**Difference:**  $M_1 \setminus M_2$

- ▶  $\{\mu \in M_1 \mid \text{for all } \mu' \in M_2, \mu \text{ and } \mu' \text{ are not compatibles}\}$
- ▶ mappings in  $M_1$  that cannot be extended with mappings in  $M_2$

## Definition

**Left outer join:**  $M_1 \bowtie M_2 = (M_1 \bowtie M_2) \cup (M_1 \setminus M_2)$

- ▶ extension of mappings in  $M_1$  with compatible mappings in  $M_2$
- ▶ plus the mappings in  $M_1$  that cannot be extended.

will be used to define **OPT**

# Semantics of general graph patterns

## Definition

Given a graph  $G$  the evaluation of a pattern is recursively defined

the base case is the evaluation of a BGP.

# Semantics of general graph patterns

## Definition

Given a graph  $G$  the evaluation of a pattern is recursively defined

$$\blacktriangleright \llbracket (P_1 \text{ AND } P_2) \rrbracket_G = \llbracket P_1 \rrbracket_G \bowtie \llbracket P_2 \rrbracket_G$$

the base case is the evaluation of a BGP.



## Definition

Given a graph  $G$  the evaluation of a pattern is recursively defined

- ▶  $[[ (P_1 \text{ AND } P_2) ] ]_G = [[P_1]]_G \bowtie [[P_2]]_G$
- ▶  $[[ (P_1 \text{ UNION } P_2) ] ]_G = [[P_1]]_G \cup [[P_2]]_G$

the base case is the evaluation of a BGP.

## Definition

Given a graph  $G$  the evaluation of a pattern is recursively defined

- ▶  $[[ (P_1 \text{ AND } P_2) ] ]_G = [[P_1]]_G \bowtie [[P_2]]_G$
- ▶  $[[ (P_1 \text{ UNION } P_2) ] ]_G = [[P_1]]_G \cup [[P_2]]_G$
- ▶  $[[ (P_1 \text{ OPT } P_2) ] ]_G = [[P_1]]_G \bowtie \bowtie [[P_2]]_G$

the base case is the evaluation of a BGP.

## Example (AND)

$G$  :  $(R_1, \text{ name, john})$       $(R_2, \text{ name, paul})$       $(R_3, \text{ name, ringo})$   
 $(R_1, \text{ email, J@ed.ex})$       $(R_3, \text{ email, R@ed.ex})$   
 $(R_3, \text{ webPage, www.ringo.com})$

$[[\{(?X, \text{ name, ?N})\} \text{ AND } \{(?X, \text{ email, ?E})\}]]_G$

## Example (AND)

$G$  :    ( $R_1$ , name, john)          ( $R_2$ , name, paul)      ( $R_3$ , name, ringo)  
         ( $R_1$ , email, J@ed.ex)    ( $R_3$ , email, R@ed.ex)  
   ( $R_3$ , webPage, www.ringo.com)

$[[\{(?X, name, ?N)\} \text{ AND } \{(?X, email, ?E)\}]]_G$

$[[\{(?X, name, ?N)\}]]_G \bowtie [[\{(?X, email, ?E)\}]]_G$

## Example (AND)

$G$  :  $(R_1, \text{name}, \text{john})$        $(R_2, \text{name}, \text{paul})$        $(R_3, \text{name}, \text{ringo})$   
 $(R_1, \text{email}, \text{J@ed.ex})$                                $(R_3, \text{email}, \text{R@ed.ex})$   
     $(R_3, \text{webPage}, \text{www.ringo.com})$

$[[\{(?X, \text{name}, ?N)\} \text{ AND } \{(?X, \text{email}, ?E)\}]]_G$

$[[\{(?X, \text{name}, ?N)\}]]_G \bowtie [[\{(?X, \text{email}, ?E)\}]]_G$

	?X	?N
$\mu_1$	$R_1$	john
$\mu_2$	$R_2$	paul
$\mu_3$	$R_3$	ringo

## Example (AND)

$G$  :  $(R_1, \text{name}, \text{john})$        $(R_2, \text{name}, \text{paul})$        $(R_3, \text{name}, \text{ringo})$   
 $(R_1, \text{email}, \text{J@ed.ex})$        $(R_3, \text{email}, \text{R@ed.ex})$   
 $(R_3, \text{webPage}, \text{www.ringo.com})$

$[[\{(?X, \text{name}, ?N)\} \text{ AND } \{(?X, \text{email}, ?E)\}]]_G$

$[[\{(?X, \text{name}, ?N)\}]]_G \bowtie [[\{(?X, \text{email}, ?E)\}]]_G$

	?X	?N
$\mu_1$	$R_1$	john
$\mu_2$	$R_2$	paul
$\mu_3$	$R_3$	ringo

	?X	?E
$\mu_4$	$R_1$	J@ed.ex
$\mu_5$	$R_3$	R@ed.ex



## Example (AND)

$G : (R_1, \text{name}, \text{john}) \quad (R_2, \text{name}, \text{paul}) \quad (R_3, \text{name}, \text{ringo})$   
 $(R_1, \text{email}, \text{J@ed.ex}) \quad (R_3, \text{email}, \text{R@ed.ex})$   
 $(R_3, \text{webPage}, \text{www.ringo.com})$

$[[\{(?X, \text{name}, ?N)\} \text{ AND } \{(?X, \text{email}, ?E)\}]]_G$

$[[\{(?X, \text{name}, ?N)\}]]_G \bowtie [[\{(?X, \text{email}, ?E)\}]]_G$

$\mu_1$	<table border="1"><tr><th>?X</th><th>?N</th></tr><tr><td><math>R_1</math></td><td>john</td></tr></table>	?X	?N	$R_1$	john
?X	?N				
$R_1$	john				
$\mu_2$	<table border="1"><tr><td><math>R_2</math></td><td>paul</td></tr></table>	$R_2$	paul		
$R_2$	paul				
$\mu_3$	<table border="1"><tr><td><math>R_3</math></td><td>ringo</td></tr></table>	$R_3$	ringo		
$R_3$	ringo				

⋈

$\mu_4$	<table border="1"><tr><th>?X</th><th>?E</th></tr><tr><td><math>R_1</math></td><td>J@ed.ex</td></tr></table>	?X	?E	$R_1$	J@ed.ex
?X	?E				
$R_1$	J@ed.ex				
$\mu_5$	<table border="1"><tr><td><math>R_3</math></td><td>R@ed.ex</td></tr></table>	$R_3$	R@ed.ex		
$R_3$	R@ed.ex				

$\mu_1 \cup \mu_4$	<table border="1"><tr><th>?X</th><th>?N</th><th>?E</th></tr><tr><td><math>R_1</math></td><td>john</td><td>J@ed.ex</td></tr></table>	?X	?N	?E	$R_1$	john	J@ed.ex
?X	?N	?E					
$R_1$	john	J@ed.ex					
$\mu_3 \cup \mu_5$	<table border="1"><tr><td><math>R_3</math></td><td>ringo</td><td>R@ed.ex</td></tr></table>	$R_3$	ringo	R@ed.ex			
$R_3$	ringo	R@ed.ex					



## Example (OPT)

$G$  :  $(R_1, \text{name}, \text{john})$        $(R_2, \text{name}, \text{paul})$        $(R_3, \text{name}, \text{ringo})$   
 $(R_1, \text{email}, \text{J@ed.ex})$        $(R_3, \text{email}, \text{R@ed.ex})$   
 $(R_3, \text{webPage}, \text{www.ringo.com})$

$[[\{(?X, \text{name}, ?N)\} \text{ OPT } \{(?X, \text{email}, ?E)\}]]_G$

## Example (OPT)

$G$  :  $(R_1, \text{name}, \text{john})$        $(R_2, \text{name}, \text{paul})$        $(R_3, \text{name}, \text{ringo})$   
 $(R_1, \text{email}, \text{J@ed.ex})$        $(R_3, \text{email}, \text{R@ed.ex})$   
 $(R_3, \text{webPage}, \text{www.ringo.com})$

$\llbracket \{ (?X, \text{name}, ?N) \} \text{ OPT } \{ (?X, \text{email}, ?E) \} \rrbracket_G$

$\llbracket \{ (?X, \text{name}, ?N) \} \rrbracket_G \bowtie \llbracket \{ (?X, \text{email}, ?E) \} \rrbracket_G$

## Example (OPT)

$G$  :  $(R_1, \text{name}, \text{john})$        $(R_2, \text{name}, \text{paul})$        $(R_3, \text{name}, \text{ringo})$   
 $(R_1, \text{email}, \text{J@ed.ex})$        $(R_3, \text{email}, \text{R@ed.ex})$   
 $(R_3, \text{webPage}, \text{www.ringo.com})$

$\llbracket \{ \{ (?X, \text{name}, ?N) \} \text{ OPT } \{ (?X, \text{email}, ?E) \} \rrbracket_G$

$\llbracket \{ (?X, \text{name}, ?N) \} \rrbracket_G \bowtie \llbracket \{ (?X, \text{email}, ?E) \} \rrbracket_G$

	?X	?N
$\mu_1$	$R_1$	john
$\mu_2$	$R_2$	paul
$\mu_3$	$R_3$	ringo

## Example (OPT)

$G$  :  $(R_1, \text{name}, \text{john})$        $(R_2, \text{name}, \text{paul})$        $(R_3, \text{name}, \text{ringo})$   
 $(R_1, \text{email}, \text{J@ed.ex})$        $(R_3, \text{email}, \text{R@ed.ex})$   
 $(R_3, \text{webPage}, \text{www.ringo.com})$

$\llbracket \{ \{ (?X, \text{name}, ?N) \} \text{ OPT } \{ (?X, \text{email}, ?E) \} \rrbracket_G$

$\llbracket \{ (?X, \text{name}, ?N) \} \rrbracket_G \bowtie \llbracket \{ (?X, \text{email}, ?E) \} \rrbracket_G$

	?X	?N
$\mu_1$	$R_1$	john
$\mu_2$	$R_2$	paul
$\mu_3$	$R_3$	ringo

	?X	?E
$\mu_4$	$R_1$	J@ed.ex
$\mu_5$	$R_3$	R@ed.ex

## Example (OPT)

$G$  :  $(R_1, \text{name}, \text{john})$        $(R_2, \text{name}, \text{paul})$        $(R_3, \text{name}, \text{ringo})$   
 $(R_1, \text{email}, \text{J@ed.ex})$        $(R_3, \text{email}, \text{R@ed.ex})$   
 $(R_3, \text{webPage}, \text{www.ringo.com})$

$\llbracket \{ \{ (?X, \text{name}, ?N) \} \text{ OPT } \{ (?X, \text{email}, ?E) \} \rrbracket_G$

$\llbracket \{ (?X, \text{name}, ?N) \} \rrbracket_G \bowtie \llbracket \{ (?X, \text{email}, ?E) \} \rrbracket_G$

	?X	?N
$\mu_1$	$R_1$	john
$\mu_2$	$R_2$	paul
$\mu_3$	$R_3$	ringo

$\bowtie$

	?X	?E
$\mu_4$	$R_1$	J@ed.ex
$\mu_5$	$R_3$	R@ed.ex

## Example (OPT)

$G : (R_1, \text{name}, \text{john}) \quad (R_2, \text{name}, \text{paul}) \quad (R_3, \text{name}, \text{ringo})$   
 $(R_1, \text{email}, \text{J@ed.ex}) \quad (R_3, \text{email}, \text{R@ed.ex})$   
 $(R_3, \text{webPage}, \text{www.ringo.com})$

$\llbracket \{ (?X, \text{name}, ?N) \} \text{ OPT } \{ (?X, \text{email}, ?E) \} \rrbracket_G$

$\llbracket \{ (?X, \text{name}, ?N) \} \rrbracket_G \bowtie \llbracket \{ (?X, \text{email}, ?E) \} \rrbracket_G$

	?X	?N
$\mu_1$	$R_1$	john
$\mu_2$	$R_2$	paul
$\mu_3$	$R_3$	ringo

$\bowtie$

	?X	?E
$\mu_4$	$R_1$	J@ed.ex
$\mu_5$	$R_3$	R@ed.ex

	?X	?N	?E
$\mu_1 \cup \mu_4$	$R_1$	john	J@ed.ex
$\mu_3 \cup \mu_5$	$R_3$	ringo	R@ed.ex
$\mu_2$	$R_2$	paul	

## Example (OPT)

$G$  :  $(R_1, \text{name, john})$        $(R_2, \text{name, paul})$        $(R_3, \text{name, ringo})$   
 $(R_1, \text{email, J@ed.ex})$        $(R_3, \text{email, R@ed.ex})$   
 $(R_3, \text{webPage, www.ringo.com})$

$\llbracket \{ \{ (?X, \text{name}, ?N) \} \text{ OPT } \{ (?X, \text{email}, ?E) \} \} \rrbracket_G$

$\llbracket \{ (?X, \text{name}, ?N) \} \rrbracket_G \bowtie \llbracket \{ (?X, \text{email}, ?E) \} \rrbracket_G$

	?X	?N			?X	?E
$\mu_1$	$R_1$	john	$\bowtie$	$\mu_4$	$R_1$	J@ed.ex
$\mu_2$	$R_2$	paul		$\mu_5$	$R_3$	R@ed.ex
$\mu_3$	$R_3$	ringo				

	?X	?N	?E
$\mu_1 \cup \mu_4$	$R_1$	john	J@ed.ex
$\mu_3 \cup \mu_5$	$R_3$	ringo	R@ed.ex
$\mu_2$	$R_2$	paul	





## Example (UNION)

$G$  :  $(R_1, \text{name, john})$       $(R_2, \text{name, paul})$       $(R_3, \text{name, ringo})$   
 $(R_1, \text{email, J@ed.ex})$       $(R_3, \text{email, R@ed.ex})$   
 $(R_3, \text{webPage, www.ringo.com})$

$\llbracket \{ (\text{?X, email, ?Info}) \} \cup \{ (\text{?X, webPage, ?Info}) \} \rrbracket_G$

$\llbracket \{ (\text{?X, email, ?Info}) \} \rrbracket_G \cup \llbracket \{ (\text{?X, webPage, ?Info}) \} \rrbracket_G$

## Example (UNION)

$G$  :  $(R_1, \text{name}, \text{john}) \quad (R_2, \text{name}, \text{paul}) \quad (R_3, \text{name}, \text{ringo})$   
 $(R_1, \text{email}, \text{J@ed.ex}) \quad (R_3, \text{email}, \text{R@ed.ex})$   
 $(R_3, \text{webPage}, \text{www.ringo.com})$

$[[\{(?X, \text{email}, ?Info)\} \text{ UNION } \{(?X, \text{webPage}, ?Info)\}]]_G$

$[[\{(?X, \text{email}, ?Info)\}]]_G \cup [[\{(?X, \text{webPage}, ?Info)\}]]_G$

	?X	?Info
$\mu_1$	$R_1$	J@ed.ex
$\mu_2$	$R_3$	R@ed.ex





## Example (UNION)

$G$  :

$(R_1, \text{name}, \text{john})$	$(R_2, \text{name}, \text{paul})$	$(R_3, \text{name}, \text{ringo})$
$(R_1, \text{email}, \text{J@ed.ex})$		$(R_3, \text{email}, \text{R@ed.ex})$
		$(R_3, \text{webPage}, \text{www.ringo.com})$

$\llbracket\{(?X, \text{email}, ?Info)\} \cup \{(?X, \text{webPage}, ?Info)\}\rrbracket_G$

$\llbracket\{(?X, \text{email}, ?Info)\}\rrbracket_G \cup \llbracket\{(?X, \text{webPage}, ?Info)\}\rrbracket_G$

	?X	?Info
$\mu_1$	$R_1$	J@ed.ex
$\mu_2$	$R_3$	R@ed.ex

$\cup$

	?X	?Info
$\mu_3$	$R_3$	www.ringo.com

	?X	?Info
$\mu_1$	$R_1$	J@ed.ex
$\mu_2$	$R_3$	R@ed.ex
$\mu_3$	$R_3$	www.ringo.com

# Boolean filter expressions (value constraints)

In filter expressions we consider

- ▶ the equality  $=$  among variables and RDF terms
- ▶ a unary predicate **bound**
- ▶ boolean combinations ( $\wedge$ ,  $\vee$ ,  $\neg$ )

A mapping  $\mu$  **satisfies**

- ▶  $?X = c$  if  $\mu(?X) = c$
- ▶  $?X = ?Y$  if  $\mu(?X) = \mu(?Y)$
- ▶ **bound**( $?X$ ) if  $\mu$  is defined in  $?X$ , i.e.  $?X \in \text{dom}(\mu)$

# Satisfaction of value constraints

- ▶ If  $P$  is a graph pattern and  $R$  is a value constraint then  $(P \text{ FILTER } R)$  is also a graph pattern.

## Definition

Given a graph  $G$

- ▶  $[[ (P \text{ FILTER } R) ] ]_G = \{ \mu \in [[P]]_G \mid \mu \text{ satisfies } R \}$   
i.e. mappings in the evaluation of  $P$  that **satisfy**  $R$ .

# Satisfaction of value constraints

- ▶ If  $P$  is a graph pattern and  $R$  is a value constraint then  $(P \text{ FILTER } R)$  is also a graph pattern.

## Definition

Given a graph  $G$

- ▶  $[[ (P \text{ FILTER } R) ] ]_G = \{ \mu \in [[P]]_G \mid \mu \text{ satisfies } R \}$   
i.e. mappings in the evaluation of  $P$  that **satisfy**  $R$ .



## Example (FILTER)

$G$  :  $(R_1, \text{name}, \text{john})$        $(R_2, \text{name}, \text{paul})$        $(R_3, \text{name}, \text{ringo})$   
 $(R_1, \text{email}, \text{J@ed.ex})$                        $(R_3, \text{email}, \text{R@ed.ex})$   
    $(R_3, \text{webPage}, \text{www.ringo.com})$

$\llbracket \llbracket \{ (?X, \text{name}, ?N) \} \text{ FILTER } (?N = \text{ringo} \vee ?N = \text{paul}) \rrbracket \rrbracket_G$

## Example (FILTER)

$G$  :

$(R_1, \text{name}, \text{john})$	$(R_2, \text{name}, \text{paul})$	$(R_3, \text{name}, \text{ringo})$
$(R_1, \text{email}, \text{J@ed.ex})$		$(R_3, \text{email}, \text{R@ed.ex})$
		$(R_3, \text{webPage}, \text{www.ringo.com})$

$\llbracket \llbracket \{ (?X, \text{name}, ?N) \} \text{ FILTER } (?N = \text{ringo} \vee ?N = \text{paul}) \rrbracket \rrbracket_G$

	?X	?N
$\mu_1$	$R_1$	john
$\mu_2$	$R_2$	paul
$\mu_3$	$R_3$	ringo

## Example (FILTER)

$G$  :  $(R_1, \text{name}, \text{john})$        $(R_2, \text{name}, \text{paul})$        $(R_3, \text{name}, \text{ringo})$   
 $(R_1, \text{email}, \text{J@ed.ex})$        $(R_3, \text{email}, \text{R@ed.ex})$   
 $(R_3, \text{webPage}, \text{www.ringo.com})$

$\llbracket \{ \{ (?X, \text{name}, ?N) \} \text{ FILTER } (?N = \text{ringo} \vee ?N = \text{paul}) \} \rrbracket_G$

	?X	?N
$\mu_1$	$R_1$	john
$\mu_2$	$R_2$	paul
$\mu_3$	$R_3$	ringo

$?N = \text{ringo} \vee ?N = \text{paul}$

## Example (FILTER)

$G$  :  $(R_1, \text{name}, \text{john})$        $(R_2, \text{name}, \text{paul})$        $(R_3, \text{name}, \text{ringo})$   
 $(R_1, \text{email}, \text{J@ed.ex})$                        $(R_3, \text{email}, \text{R@ed.ex})$   
 $(R_3, \text{webPage}, \text{www.ringo.com})$

$\llbracket\{(\{(?X, \text{name}, ?N)\} \text{FILTER } (?N = \text{ringo} \vee ?N = \text{paul}))\}\rrbracket_G$

	?X	?N
$\mu_1$	$R_1$	john
$\mu_2$	$R_2$	paul
$\mu_3$	$R_3$	ringo

$?N = \text{ringo} \vee ?N = \text{paul}$

	?X	?N
$\mu_2$	$R_2$	paul
$\mu_3$	$R_3$	ringo

## Example (FILTER)

$G$  :

$(R_1, \text{name}, \text{john})$	$(R_2, \text{name}, \text{paul})$	$(R_3, \text{name}, \text{ringo})$
$(R_1, \text{email}, \text{J@ed.ex})$		$(R_3, \text{email}, \text{R@ed.ex})$
		$(R_3, \text{webPage}, \text{www.ringo.com})$

$[[(((\{(\{?X, \text{name}, ?N\}) \text{OPT } \{(\{?X, \text{email}, ?E\}) \text{FILTER } \neg \text{bound}(?E)\})]]_G$

## Example (FILTER)

$G$  :  $(R_1, \text{name}, \text{john})$        $(R_2, \text{name}, \text{paul})$        $(R_3, \text{name}, \text{ringo})$   
 $(R_1, \text{email}, \text{J@ed.ex})$        $(R_3, \text{email}, \text{R@ed.ex})$   
 $(R_3, \text{webPage}, \text{www.ringo.com})$

$[[(((\{(?X, \text{name}, ?N)\} \text{OPT } \{(?X, \text{email}, ?E)\}) \text{FILTER } \neg \text{bound}(?E)))]_G$

	?X	?N	?E
$\mu_1 \cup \mu_4$	$R_1$	john	J@ed.ex
$\mu_3 \cup \mu_5$	$R_3$	ringo	R@ed.ex
$\mu_2$	$R_2$	paul	

## Example (FILTER)

$G$  :  $(R_1, \text{name}, \text{john})$        $(R_2, \text{name}, \text{paul})$        $(R_3, \text{name}, \text{ringo})$   
 $(R_1, \text{email}, \text{J@ed.ex})$        $(R_3, \text{email}, \text{R@ed.ex})$   
 $(R_3, \text{webPage}, \text{www.ringo.com})$

$[[(((\{(?X, \text{name}, ?N)\} \text{OPT } \{(?X, \text{email}, ?E)\}) \text{FILTER } \neg \text{bound}(?E)))]_G$

	$?X$	$?N$	$?E$	
$\mu_1 \cup \mu_4$	$R_1$	john	J@ed.ex	$\neg \text{bound}(?E)$
$\mu_3 \cup \mu_5$	$R_3$	ringo	R@ed.ex	
$\mu_2$	$R_2$	paul		





## FILTER: differences with the official specification

- ▶ We restrict to the case in which all variables in  $R$  are mentioned in  $P$ .
- ▶ This restriction is not imposed in the official specification by W3C.

## FILTER: differences with the official specification

- ▶ We restrict to the case in which all variables in  $R$  are mentioned in  $P$ .
- ▶ This restriction is not imposed in the official specification by W3C.
- ▶ The semantics without the restriction does not modify the expressive power of the language.

- ▶ One of the interesting features of SPARQL is that a query may retrieve data from different sources.

## Definition

A SPARQL **dataset** is a set

$$\mathcal{D} = \{G_0, \langle u_1, G_1 \rangle, \langle u_2, G_2 \rangle, \dots, \langle u_n, G_n \rangle\}$$

- ▶  $G_0$  is the default graph,  $\langle u_i, G_i \rangle$  are named graphs
- ▶  $\text{name}(\mathcal{D}) = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$
- ▶  $d_{\mathcal{D}}$  is a function such  $d_{\mathcal{D}}(u_i) = G_i$ .

- ▶ One of the interesting features of SPARQL is that a query may retrieve data from different sources.

## Definition

A SPARQL **dataset** is a set

$$\mathcal{D} = \{G_0, \langle u_1, G_1 \rangle, \langle u_2, G_2 \rangle, \dots, \langle u_n, G_n \rangle\}$$

- ▶  $G_0$  is the default graph,  $\langle u_i, G_i \rangle$  are named graphs
- ▶  $\text{name}(\mathcal{D}) = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$
- ▶  $d_{\mathcal{D}}$  is a function such  $d_{\mathcal{D}}(u_i) = G_i$ .

- ▶ One of the interesting features of SPARQL is that a query may retrieve data from different sources.

## Definition

A SPARQL **dataset** is a set

$$\mathcal{D} = \{G_0, \langle u_1, G_1 \rangle, \langle u_2, G_2 \rangle, \dots, \langle u_n, G_n \rangle\}$$

- ▶  $G_0$  is the default graph,  $\langle u_i, G_i \rangle$  are named graphs
- ▶  $\text{name}(\mathcal{D}) = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$
- ▶  $d_{\mathcal{D}}$  is a function such  $d_{\mathcal{D}}(u_i) = G_i$ .

- ▶ One of the interesting features of SPARQL is that a query may retrieve data from different sources.

## Definition

A SPARQL **dataset** is a set

$$\mathcal{D} = \{G_0, \langle u_1, G_1 \rangle, \langle u_2, G_2 \rangle, \dots, \langle u_n, G_n \rangle\}$$

- ▶  $G_0$  is the default graph,  $\langle u_i, G_i \rangle$  are named graphs
- ▶  $\text{name}(\mathcal{D}) = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$
- ▶  $d_{\mathcal{D}}$  is a function such  $d_{\mathcal{D}}(u_i) = G_i$ .

# The GRAPH operator

if  $u$  is an IRI,  $?X$  is a variable and  $P$  is a graph pattern, then

- ▶  $(u \text{ GRAPH } P)$  is a graph pattern
- ▶  $(?X \text{ GRAPH } P)$  is a graph pattern

# The GRAPH operator

if  $u$  is an IRI,  $?X$  is a variable and  $P$  is a graph pattern, then

- ▶  $(u \text{ GRAPH } P)$  is a graph pattern
- ▶  $(?X \text{ GRAPH } P)$  is a graph pattern

GRAPH will permit us to dynamically change the graph against which our pattern is evaluated.



## Definition

Given a dataset  $\mathcal{D}$  and a graph pattern  $P$

## Definition

Given a dataset  $\mathcal{D}$  and a graph pattern  $P$

$$\llbracket (u \text{ GRAPH } P) \rrbracket_G = \llbracket P \rrbracket_{d_{\mathcal{D}}(u)}$$

## Definition

Given a dataset  $\mathcal{D}$  and a graph pattern  $P$

$$\llbracket (u \text{ GRAPH } P) \rrbracket_G = \llbracket P \rrbracket_{d_{\mathcal{D}}(u)}$$

$$\llbracket (?X \text{ GRAPH } P) \rrbracket_G =$$

## Definition

Given a dataset  $\mathcal{D}$  and a graph pattern  $P$

$$\llbracket (u \text{ GRAPH } P) \rrbracket_G = \llbracket P \rrbracket_{d_{\mathcal{D}}(u)}$$

$$\llbracket (?X \text{ GRAPH } P) \rrbracket_G = \bigcup_{u \in \text{name}(\mathcal{D})}$$

## Definition

Given a dataset  $\mathcal{D}$  and a graph pattern  $P$

$$\llbracket (u \text{ GRAPH } P) \rrbracket_G = \llbracket P \rrbracket_{d_{\mathcal{D}}(u)}$$

$$\llbracket (?X \text{ GRAPH } P) \rrbracket_G = \bigcup_{u \in \text{name}(\mathcal{D})} \llbracket P \rrbracket_{d_{\mathcal{D}}(u)}$$

## Definition

Given a dataset  $\mathcal{D}$  and a graph pattern  $P$

$$\llbracket (u \text{ GRAPH } P) \rrbracket_G = \llbracket P \rrbracket_{d_{\mathcal{D}}(u)}$$

$$\llbracket (?X \text{ GRAPH } P) \rrbracket_G = \bigcup_{u \in \text{name}(\mathcal{D})} \left( \llbracket P \rrbracket_{d_{\mathcal{D}}(u)} \bowtie \{ \{ ?X \rightarrow u \} \} \right)$$

## Definition

Given a dataset  $\mathcal{D}$  and a graph pattern  $P$

$$\llbracket (u \text{ GRAPH } P) \rrbracket_G = \llbracket P \rrbracket_{d_{\mathcal{D}}(u)}$$

$$\llbracket (?X \text{ GRAPH } P) \rrbracket_G = \bigcup_{u \in \text{name}(\mathcal{D})} \left( \llbracket P \rrbracket_{d_{\mathcal{D}}(u)} \bowtie \{ \{ ?X \rightarrow u \} \} \right)$$

## Definition

The evaluation of a general pattern  $P$  against a dataset  $\mathcal{D}$ , denoted by  $\llbracket P \rrbracket_{\mathcal{D}}$ , is the set  $\llbracket P \rrbracket_{G_0}$  where  $G_0$  is the default graph in  $\mathcal{D}$ .

## Example (GRAPH)

$G_0$ :

$\mathcal{D}$



## Example (GRAPH)

$\mathcal{D}$

$G_0:$   
 $\langle \text{tb}, G_1: \begin{array}{ll} (R_1, \text{name}, \text{john}) & (R_2, \text{name}, \text{paul}) \\ (R_1, \text{email}, \text{J@ed.ex}) & \end{array} \rangle$

## Example (GRAPH)

$\mathcal{D}$

$G_0$ :  
 $\langle$   $tb$ ,  $G_1$ :  
 $(R_1, name, john)$        $(R_2, name, paul)$   $\rangle$   
 $(R_1, email, J@ed.ex)$   
 $\langle$   $trs$ ,  $G_2$ :  
 $(R_4, name, mick)$        $(R_5, name, keith)$   $\rangle$   
 $(R_4, email, M@ed.ex)$        $(R_5, email, K@ed.ex)$   $\rangle$

## Example (GRAPH)

$\mathcal{D}$

$G_0:$   
 $\langle \text{tb}, G_1: \begin{array}{ll} (R_1, \text{name}, \text{john}) & (R_2, \text{name}, \text{paul}) \\ (R_1, \text{email}, \text{J@ed.ex}) & \end{array} \rangle$   
 $\langle \text{trs}, G_2: \begin{array}{ll} (R_4, \text{name}, \text{mick}) & (R_5, \text{name}, \text{keith}) \\ (R_4, \text{email}, \text{M@ed.ex}) & (R_5, \text{email}, \text{K@ed.ex}) \end{array} \rangle$

$[[(\text{trs GRAPH } \{(?X, \text{name}, ?N)\})]]_{\mathcal{D}}$

## Example (GRAPH)

$\mathcal{D}$

$G_0:$   
 $\langle \text{tb}, G_1: \begin{array}{ll} (R_1, \text{name}, \text{john}) & (R_2, \text{name}, \text{paul}) \\ (R_1, \text{email}, \text{J@ed.ex}) & \end{array} \rangle$   
 $\langle \text{trs}, G_2: \begin{array}{ll} (R_4, \text{name}, \text{mick}) & (R_5, \text{name}, \text{keith}) \\ (R_4, \text{email}, \text{M@ed.ex}) & (R_5, \text{email}, \text{K@ed.ex}) \end{array} \rangle$

$[[(\text{trs GRAPH } \{(?X, \text{name}, ?N)\})]]_{\mathcal{D}}$

$[[\{(?X, \text{name}, ?N)\}]]_{G_2}$

## Example (GRAPH)

$\mathcal{D}$

$G_0$ :  
 $\langle \text{tb}, G_1: \begin{array}{ll} (R_1, \text{name}, \text{john}) & (R_2, \text{name}, \text{paul}) \\ (R_1, \text{email}, \text{J@ed.ex}) & \end{array} \rangle$   
 $\langle \text{trs}, G_2: \begin{array}{ll} (R_4, \text{name}, \text{mick}) & (R_5, \text{name}, \text{keith}) \\ (R_4, \text{email}, \text{M@ed.ex}) & (R_5, \text{email}, \text{K@ed.ex}) \end{array} \rangle$

$\llbracket (\text{trs GRAPH } \{(?X, \text{name}, ?N)\}) \rrbracket_{\mathcal{D}}$

$\llbracket \{(?X, \text{name}, ?N)\} \rrbracket_{G_2}$

	$?X$	$?N$
$\mu_1$	$R_4$	mick
$\mu_2$	$R_5$	keith

## Example (GRAPH)

$\mathcal{D}$

$G_0:$   
 $\langle \text{tb}, G_1: (R_1, \text{name}, \text{john}) \quad (R_2, \text{name}, \text{paul}) \rangle$   
 $(R_1, \text{email}, \text{J@ed.ex})$   
 $\langle \text{trs}, G_2: (R_4, \text{name}, \text{mick}) \quad (R_5, \text{name}, \text{keith}) \rangle$   
 $(R_4, \text{email}, \text{M@ed.ex}) \quad (R_5, \text{email}, \text{K@ed.ex}) \rangle$

## Example (GRAPH)

$\mathcal{D}$

$G_0$ :  
 $\langle \text{tb}, G_1: \begin{array}{ll} (R_1, \text{name, john}) & (R_2, \text{name, paul}) \\ (R_1, \text{email, J@ed.ex}) & \end{array} \rangle$   
 $\langle \text{trs}, G_2: \begin{array}{ll} (R_4, \text{name, mick}) & (R_5, \text{name, keith}) \\ (R_4, \text{email, M@ed.ex}) & (R_5, \text{email, K@ed.ex}) \end{array} \rangle$

$[[(?G \text{ GRAPH } \{(?X, \text{name}, ?N)\})]]_{\mathcal{D}}$

## Example (GRAPH)

$\mathcal{D}$

$G_0$ :  
 $\langle \text{tb}, G_1: \begin{array}{ll} (R_1, \text{name, john}) & (R_2, \text{name, paul}) \\ (R_1, \text{email, J@ed.ex}) & \end{array} \rangle$   
 $\langle \text{trs}, G_2: \begin{array}{ll} (R_4, \text{name, mick}) & (R_5, \text{name, keith}) \\ (R_4, \text{email, M@ed.ex}) & (R_5, \text{email, K@ed.ex}) \end{array} \rangle$

$\llbracket (?G \text{ GRAPH } \{(?X, \text{name}, ?N)\}) \rrbracket_{\mathcal{D}}$

$\llbracket \{(?X, \text{name}, ?N)\} \rrbracket_{G_1} \bowtie \{?G \rightarrow \text{tb}\}$



## Example (GRAPH)

$\mathcal{D}$

$G_0$ :  
 $\langle \text{tb}, G_1: \begin{array}{ll} (R_1, \text{name, john}) & (R_2, \text{name, paul}) \\ (R_1, \text{email, J@ed.ex}) & \end{array} \rangle$   
 $\langle \text{trs}, G_2: \begin{array}{ll} (R_4, \text{name, mick}) & (R_5, \text{name, keith}) \\ (R_4, \text{email, M@ed.ex}) & (R_5, \text{email, K@ed.ex}) \end{array} \rangle$

$\llbracket (?G \text{ GRAPH } \{ (?X, \text{name}, ?N) \}) \rrbracket_{\mathcal{D}}$

$\llbracket \{ (?X, \text{name}, ?N) \} \rrbracket_{G_1} \bowtie \{ \{ ?G \rightarrow \text{tb} \} \} \cup$

## Example (GRAPH)

 $\mathcal{D}$ 

$G_0$ :  
 $\langle \text{tb}, G_1: \begin{array}{l} (R_1, \text{name, john}) \\ (R_1, \text{email, J@ed.ex}) \end{array} \quad (R_2, \text{name, paul}) \rangle$   
 $\langle \text{trs}, G_2: \begin{array}{l} (R_4, \text{name, mick}) \\ (R_4, \text{email, M@ed.ex}) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} (R_5, \text{name, keith}) \\ (R_5, \text{email, K@ed.ex}) \end{array} \rangle$

$$\llbracket (?G \text{ GRAPH } \{ (?X, \text{name}, ?N) \}) \rrbracket_{\mathcal{D}}$$
$$\llbracket \{ (?X, \text{name}, ?N) \} \rrbracket_{G_1} \bowtie \{ \{ ?G \rightarrow \text{tb} \} \} \cup$$
$$\llbracket \{ (?X, \text{name}, ?N) \} \rrbracket_{G_2} \bowtie \{ \{ ?G \rightarrow \text{trs} \} \}$$

## Example (GRAPH)

 $\mathcal{D}$ 

$G_0$ :  
 $\langle \text{tb}, G_1: (R_1, \text{name}, \text{john}) \quad (R_2, \text{name}, \text{paul}) \rangle$   
 $(R_1, \text{email}, \text{J@ed.ex})$   
 $\langle \text{trs}, G_2: (R_4, \text{name}, \text{mick}) \quad (R_5, \text{name}, \text{keith}) \rangle$   
 $(R_4, \text{email}, \text{M@ed.ex}) \quad (R_5, \text{email}, \text{K@ed.ex}) \rangle$

$$\llbracket \{ ?G \text{ GRAPH } \{ (?X, \text{name}, ?N) \} \} \rrbracket_{\mathcal{D}}$$
$$\llbracket \{ (?X, \text{name}, ?N) \} \rrbracket_{G_1} \bowtie \{ \{ ?G \rightarrow \text{tb} \} \} \cup$$
$$\llbracket \{ (?X, \text{name}, ?N) \} \rrbracket_{G_2} \bowtie \{ \{ ?G \rightarrow \text{trs} \} \}$$

	?X	?N
$\mu_1$	$R_1$	john
$\mu_2$	$R_2$	paul

 $\bowtie \{ \{ ?G \rightarrow \text{tb} \} \}$

## Example (GRAPH)

$\mathcal{D}$

$G_0:$   
 $\langle \text{tb}, G_1: \begin{array}{l} (R_1, \text{name}, \text{john}) \\ (R_1, \text{email}, \text{J@ed.ex}) \end{array} \quad (R_2, \text{name}, \text{paul}) \rangle$   
 $\langle \text{trs}, G_2: \begin{array}{l} (R_4, \text{name}, \text{mick}) \\ (R_4, \text{email}, \text{M@ed.ex}) \end{array} \quad (R_5, \text{name}, \text{keith}) \\ (R_5, \text{email}, \text{K@ed.ex}) \rangle$

$\llbracket (?G \text{ GRAPH } \{(?X, \text{name}, ?N)\}) \rrbracket_{\mathcal{D}}$

$\llbracket \{(?X, \text{name}, ?N)\} \rrbracket_{G_1} \bowtie \{ \{?G \rightarrow \text{tb}\} \} \cup$   
 $\llbracket \{(?X, \text{name}, ?N)\} \rrbracket_{G_2} \bowtie \{ \{?G \rightarrow \text{trs}\} \}$

$\mu_1$ 

?X	?N
$R_1$	john
$R_2$	paul

 $\bowtie \{ \{?G \rightarrow \text{tb}\} \} \cup$ 
 $\mu_3$ 

?X	?N
$R_4$	mick
$R_5$	keith

 $\bowtie \{ \{?G \rightarrow \text{trs}\} \}$

# Example (GRAPH)

$\mathcal{D}$

$G_0:$   
 $\langle \text{tb}, G_1: (R_1, \text{name}, \text{john}) \quad (R_2, \text{name}, \text{paul}) \rangle$   
 $(R_1, \text{email}, \text{J@ed.ex})$   
 $\langle \text{trs}, G_2: (R_4, \text{name}, \text{mick}) \quad (R_5, \text{name}, \text{keith}) \rangle$   
 $(R_4, \text{email}, \text{M@ed.ex}) \quad (R_5, \text{email}, \text{K@ed.ex})$

$\llbracket (?G \text{ GRAPH } \{(?X, \text{name}, ?N)\}) \rrbracket_{\mathcal{D}}$

$\llbracket \{(?X, \text{name}, ?N)\} \rrbracket_{G_1} \bowtie \{ \{ ?G \rightarrow \text{tb} \} \} \cup$   
 $\llbracket \{(?X, \text{name}, ?N)\} \rrbracket_{G_2} \bowtie \{ \{ ?G \rightarrow \text{trs} \} \}$

$\mu_1$ 

?X	?N
$R_1$	john
$R_2$	paul

 $\bowtie \{ \{ ?G \rightarrow \text{tb} \} \} \cup$ 
 $\mu_3$ 

?X	?N
$R_4$	mick
$R_5$	keith

 $\bowtie \{ \{ ?G \rightarrow \text{trs} \} \}$

?G	?X	?N
tb	$R_1$	john
tb	$R_2$	paul
trs	$R_4$	mick
trs	$R_5$	keith

# SELECT

- ▶ Up to this point we have concentrated in the **body** of a SPARQL query, i.e. in the graph pattern matching expression.
- ▶ A query can also process the values of the variables. The most simple processing operation is the **selection** of some variables appearing in the query.

# SELECT

- ▶ Up to this point we have concentrated in the **body** of a SPARQL query, i.e. in the graph pattern matching expression.
- ▶ A query can also process the values of the variables. The most simple processing operation is the **selection** of some variables appearing in the query.

## Definition

- ▶ A SELECT query is a tuple  $(W, P)$  where  $P$  is a graph pattern and  $W$  is a set of variable.
- ▶ The answer of a SELECT query against a dataset  $\mathcal{D}$  is

$$\{\mu|_W \mid \mu \in [[P]]_{\mathcal{D}}\}$$

where  $\mu|_W$  is the restriction of  $\mu$  to domain  $W$ .

# SELECT

- ▶ Up to this point we have concentrated in the **body** of a SPARQL query, i.e. in the graph pattern matching expression.
- ▶ A query can also process the values of the variables. The most simple processing operation is the **selection** of some variables appearing in the query.

## Definition

- ▶ A SELECT query is a tuple  $(W, P)$  where  $P$  is a graph pattern and  $W$  is a set of variable.
- ▶ The answer of a SELECT query against a dataset  $\mathcal{D}$  is

$$\{\mu|_W \mid \mu \in [[P]]_{\mathcal{D}}\}$$

where  $\mu|_W$  is the restriction of  $\mu$  to domain  $W$ .



# SELECT

- ▶ Up to this point we have concentrated in the **body** of a SPARQL query, i.e. in the graph pattern matching expression.
- ▶ A query can also process the values of the variables. The most simple processing operation is the **selection** of some variables appearing in the query.

## Definition

- ▶ A SELECT query is a tuple  $(W, P)$  where  $P$  is a graph pattern and  $W$  is a set of variable.
- ▶ The answer of a SELECT query against a dataset  $\mathcal{D}$  is

$$\{\mu|_W \mid \mu \in [[P]]_{\mathcal{D}}\}$$

where  $\mu|_W$  is the restriction of  $\mu$  to domain  $W$ .

# CONSTRUCT

- ▶ A query can also output an RDF graph.
- ▶ The construction of the output graph is based on a **template**.
- ▶ A **template** is a set of triple patterns possibly with bnodes.

## Example

$$T_1 = \{(?X, \text{name}, ?Y), (?X, \text{info}, ?I), (?X, \text{addr}, B)\}$$

with  $B$  a bnode

## Definition

- ▶ A CONSTRUCT query is a tuple  $(T, P)$  where  $P$  is a graph pattern and  $T$  is a template.

# CONSTRUCT

- ▶ A query can also output an RDF graph.
- ▶ The construction of the output graph is based on a **template**.
- ▶ A **template** is a set of triple patterns possibly with bnodes.

## Example

$$T_1 = \{(?X, \text{name}, ?Y), (?X, \text{info}, ?I), (?X, \text{addr}, B)\}$$

with  $B$  a bnode

## Definition

- ▶ A CONSTRUCT query is a tuple  $(T, P)$  where  $P$  is a graph pattern and  $T$  is a template.

# CONSTRUCT

- ▶ A query can also output an RDF graph.
- ▶ The construction of the output graph is based on a **template**.
- ▶ A **template** is a set of triple patterns possibly with bnodes.

## Example

$$T_1 = \{(?X, \text{name}, ?Y), (?X, \text{info}, ?I), (?X, \text{addr}, B)\}$$

with  $B$  a bnode

## Definition

- ▶ A CONSTRUCT query is a tuple  $(T, P)$  where  $P$  is a graph pattern and  $T$  is a template.

## Definition

The answer of a CONSTRUCT query  $(T, P)$  against a dataset  $\mathcal{D}$  is obtained by

- ▶ for every  $\mu \in \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\mathcal{D}}$  create a template  $T_{\mu}$  with **fresh bnodes**
- ▶ take the union of  $\mu(T_{\mu})$  for every  $\mu \in \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\mathcal{D}}$
- ▶ discard the **not valid** RDF triples
  - ▶ some variables have not been instantiated.
  - ▶ bnodes in predicate positions

## Definition

The answer of a CONSTRUCT query  $(T, P)$  against a dataset  $\mathcal{D}$  is obtained by

- ▶ for every  $\mu \in \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\mathcal{D}}$  create a template  $T_{\mu}$  with **fresh bnodes**
- ▶ take the union of  $\mu(T_{\mu})$  for every  $\mu \in \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\mathcal{D}}$
- ▶ discard the **not valid** RDF triples
  - ▶ some variables have not been instantiated.
  - ▶ bnodes in predicate positions

## Definition

The answer of a CONSTRUCT query  $(T, P)$  against a dataset  $\mathcal{D}$  is obtained by

- ▶ for every  $\mu \in \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\mathcal{D}}$  create a template  $T_{\mu}$  with **fresh bnodes**
- ▶ take the union of  $\mu(T_{\mu})$  for every  $\mu \in \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\mathcal{D}}$
- ▶ discard the **not valid** RDF triples
  - ▶ some variables have not been instantiated.
  - ▶ bnodes in predicate positions

# CONSTRUCT: Semantics

## Definition

The answer of a CONSTRUCT query  $(T, P)$  against a dataset  $\mathcal{D}$  is obtained by

- ▶ for every  $\mu \in \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\mathcal{D}}$  create a template  $T_{\mu}$  with **fresh bnodes**
- ▶ take the union of  $\mu(T_{\mu})$  for every  $\mu \in \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\mathcal{D}}$
- ▶ discard the **not valid** RDF triples
  - ▶ some variables have not been instantiated.
  - ▶ bnodes in predicate positions



# CONSTRUCT: Semantics

## Definition

The answer of a CONSTRUCT query  $(T, P)$  against a dataset  $\mathcal{D}$  is obtained by

- ▶ for every  $\mu \in \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\mathcal{D}}$  create a template  $T_{\mu}$  with **fresh bnodes**
- ▶ take the union of  $\mu(T_{\mu})$  for every  $\mu \in \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\mathcal{D}}$
- ▶ discard the **not valid** RDF triples
  - ▶ some variables have not been instantiated.
  - ▶ bnodes in predicate positions

# CONSTRUCT: Semantics

## Definition

The answer of a CONSTRUCT query  $(T, P)$  against a dataset  $\mathcal{D}$  is obtained by

- ▶ for every  $\mu \in \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\mathcal{D}}$  create a template  $T_{\mu}$  with **fresh bnodes**
- ▶ take the union of  $\mu(T_{\mu})$  for every  $\mu \in \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\mathcal{D}}$
- ▶ discard the **not valid** RDF triples
  - ▶ some variables have not been instantiated.
  - ▶ bnodes in predicate positions

# Blank nodes in graph patterns

- ▶ We allow now bnodes in triple patterns.
- ▶ Bnodes act as existentials **scoped to the basic graph pattern**.

# Blank nodes in graph patterns

- ▶ We allow now bnodes in triple patterns.
- ▶ Bnodes act as existentials **scoped to the basic graph pattern**.

## Definition

The evaluation of the BGP  $P$  with bnodes over the graph  $G$  denoted  $\llbracket P \rrbracket_G$ , is the set of all mappings  $\mu$  such that:

- ▶  $\text{dom}(\mu)$  is exactly the set of variables occurring in  $P$ ,
- ▶ there exists a function  $\theta$  from bnodes of  $P$  to  $G$  such that

$$\mu(\theta(P)) \subseteq G.$$

# Blank nodes in graph patterns

- ▶ We allow now bnodes in triple patterns.
- ▶ Bnodes act as existentials **scoped to the basic graph pattern**.

## Definition

The evaluation of the BGP  $P$  with bnodes over the graph  $G$  denoted  $\llbracket P \rrbracket_G$ , is the set of all mappings  $\mu$  such that:

- ▶  $\text{dom}(\mu)$  is exactly the set of variables occurring in  $P$ ,
- ▶ there exists a function  $\theta$  from bnodes of  $P$  to  $G$  such that

$$\mu(\theta(P)) \subseteq G.$$

# Blank nodes in graph patterns

- ▶ We allow now bnodes in triple patterns.
- ▶ Bnodes act as existentials **scoped to the basic graph pattern**.

## Definition

The evaluation of the BGP  $P$  with bnodes over the graph  $G$  denoted  $\llbracket P \rrbracket_G$ , is the set of all mappings  $\mu$  such that:

- ▶  $\text{dom}(\mu)$  is exactly the set of variables occurring in  $P$ ,
- ▶ there exists a function  $\theta$  from bnodes of  $P$  to  $G$  such that

$$\mu(\theta(P)) \subseteq G.$$

# Blank nodes in graph patterns

- ▶ We allow now bnodes in triple patterns.
- ▶ Bnodes act as existentials **scoped to the basic graph pattern**.

## Definition

The evaluation of the BGP  $P$  with bnodes over the graph  $G$  denoted  $[[P]]_G$ , is the set of all mappings  $\mu$  such that:

- ▶  $\text{dom}(\mu)$  is exactly the set of variables occurring in  $P$ ,
- ▶ there exists a function  $\theta$  from bnodes of  $P$  to  $G$  such that

$$\mu(\theta(P)) \subseteq G.$$

- ▶ A natural extension of BGPs without bnodes.
- ▶ The algebra remains the same.

# Bag/Multiset semantics

- ▶ In a **bag**, a mapping can have cardinality greater than one.
- ▶ Every mapping  $\mu$  in a bag  $M$  is annotated with an integer  $c_M(\mu)$  that represents its cardinality ( $c_M(\mu) = 0$  if  $\mu \notin M$ ).
- ▶ Operations between sets of mappings can be extended to bags maintaining duplicates:



# Bag/Multiset semantics

- ▶ In a **bag**, a mapping can have cardinality greater than one.
- ▶ Every mapping  $\mu$  in a bag  $M$  is annotated with an integer  $c_M(\mu)$  that represents its cardinality ( $c_M(\mu) = 0$  if  $\mu \notin M$ ).
- ▶ Operations between sets of mappings can be extended to bags maintaining duplicates:

## Definition

$$\mu \in M = M_1 \bowtie M_2, \quad c_M(\mu) = \sum_{\mu = \mu_1 \cup \mu_2} c_{M_1}(\mu_1) \cdot c_{M_2}(\mu_2),$$

$$\mu \in M = M_1 \cup M_2, \quad c_M(\mu) = c_{M_1}(\mu) + c_{M_2}(\mu),$$

$$\mu \in M = M_1 \setminus M_2, \quad c_M(\mu) = c_{M_1}(\mu).$$

# Bag/Multiset semantics

- ▶ In a **bag**, a mapping can have cardinality greater than one.
- ▶ Every mapping  $\mu$  in a bag  $M$  is annotated with an integer  $c_M(\mu)$  that represents its cardinality ( $c_M(\mu) = 0$  if  $\mu \notin M$ ).
- ▶ Operations between sets of mappings can be extended to bags maintaining duplicates:

## Definition

$$\mu \in M = M_1 \bowtie M_2, \quad c_M(\mu) = \sum_{\mu = \mu_1 \cup \mu_2} c_{M_1}(\mu_1) \cdot c_{M_2}(\mu_2),$$

$$\mu \in M = M_1 \cup M_2, \quad c_M(\mu) = c_{M_1}(\mu) + c_{M_2}(\mu),$$

$$\mu \in M = M_1 \setminus M_2, \quad c_M(\mu) = c_{M_1}(\mu).$$

- ▶ Intuition: we simply do not discard duplicates.

# References

- ▶ R. Cyganiak, *A Relational Algebra for SPARQL*. Tech Report HP Laboratories, HPL-2005-170.
- ▶ E. Prud'hommeaux, A. Seaborne, *SPARQL Query Language for RDF*. W3C Working Draft, 2007.
- ▶ J. Pérez, M. Arenas, C. Gutierrez, *Semantics and Complexity of SPARQL*. In *Int. Semantic Web Conference 2006*.
- ▶ J. Pérez, M. Arenas, C. Gutierrez, *Semantics of SPARQL*. Tech Report Universidad de Chile 2006, TR/DCC-2006-17.